

Timothy A. Byrnes – Peter J. Katzenstein (eds.): *Religion in an Expanding Europe* Cambridge 2006: Cambridge University Press, 352 pp.

In accordance with the so-called secularisation thesis, social scientists in the past claimed that the role of religion in the late modern age was in decline. Nowadays, few would disagree with the statement of Peter Berger, that 'the world today ... is as furiously religious as it ever was, and in some places more so than ever' (*The Desecularization of the World*. Washington-Grand Rapids 1999, p. 2). The former disinterest in religion among scholars is being replaced with a growing number of studies in sociology, political science, economics and other related fields that are drawing attention to the return or new entry of religion to the sphere of politics and the public space, which is certainly not limited to the world of (fundamentalist) Islam. The reviewed volume, written predominantly by American scholars, is concerned with religion within Europe, the role religion plays in contemporary and possible future enlargement of the EU, and the (as yet non-existent) common European identity. In brief, they take seriously James Beckford's observation that the boundaries of 'secular' Europe are becoming sharply defined in religious terms.

Three introductory papers (by P.J. Katzenstein, D. Philpott and T.S. Shah, and J. Casanova) define the main issues studied in the book. Has modern Europe development become the model for the worldwide decline in religious significance, as claimed by the secularists, or is it rather the exception that proves the rule of de-secularisation, which is what Berger recently stated? And if the latter is the more realistic view, how is it possible that 'secularised' post-Christian Europe still has numerous implicit ties with the confessions it formerly embraced? How is the battle over the religious clause in the European constitution (which is still not approved) to be understood, or the Catholics'

quest for the re-evangelisation of Europe from the East (mainly Poland), or the unwillingness of the European majority (including many politicians) to accept Muslim Turkey as a member state in the EU, which so far is a rhetorically secular club of formerly Latin Christian states (with a few Orthodox Christian exceptions)? Are Orthodox or Muslim members welcome to join the club? Or do these questions have something to do with the heavily politicised issue of immigration? However much most observers would agree with Katzenstein's presumption that 'European enlargement will feed rather than undermine the importance of religion in the EU' (p. 2), it is necessary to go further, following Casanova's argument (first published in *Transit* 27/2004, pp. 86–106) that it has not been religion *per se* but the implicitly religious 'knowledge regime' of secularism that has adopted many Latin Christian institutions, and that has defined the European self-understanding/s against the religious 'others'. The de-churching of the European population and the privatisation of religion have not resulted in total secularisation, and anti-clerical movements have not protected 'fortress Europe' from a concealed or unconscious backing of established religious traditions.

The book is divided into three main parts on Roman Catholicism, Orthodoxy, and (Turkish) Islam, and respectively the attitudes of these religions towards the European integration process. While Catholicism can be regarded as the most trans-national of the three religious traditions, widely involved in Europeanisation from its beginnings in the 1950s, Eastern Christianity appears to be the least, owing to the nationalist character of Orthodox churches and their relation to the state. Paradoxically, recent Turkish Islam is somewhere in between, considering the fact that the Islamist Justice and Development Party (*Adalet ve Kalkınma Partisi*) is attempting to enter Europe without becoming religiously Europeanised (either in the Christian or post-Christian sense), and it sees European democ-

racy and religious privatisation as an obstruction to Kemalist forced secularisation. However, these general findings, which are reviewed again by T. A. Byrnes in his concluding chapter (pp. 283–305), should be examined in more detail.

J. B. Hehir has pointed out, for example, that a comparison between European and American Catholics has shown that the latter are much more publicly engaged (pp. 112–115), while the European clergy rely primarily on its exposure in high politics. Similarly S. P. Ramet, offering her standard phenomenological take on Eastern European data, argues that the Church is certainly not homogenous in its evaluation of European integration. Poland has experienced wide religious de-privatisation since 1989, as the former Pope willed, albeit its accession did not just have strong supporters (advocating the Poles' re-evangelist efforts), but also opponents, who were worried about secularisation, widespread materialism and consumption over spiritual values, or the forced abolition of relatively new restrictive laws (e.g. on sexual behaviour). Similar polarisation – though the majority is more *Europhobic* – can also be seen in the Orthodox churches that emphasise the preservation of national identity and traditions against the secular, materialistic and liberal West, and against their traditional enemy – the Muslims (or even the prospect of a Muslim presence within European borders).

Not surprisingly, the biggest disagreement that can be observed among the book's authors is over Muslims. On the one hand, B. Tibi, a Muslim naturalised in Europe, argues in his 'confession' that neither *shari'a* Islam or Islamism as such can be integrated into a secular Europe according to the French model, and he calls for the modern privatisation of (the Muslim) faith through Euro-Islam. In his viewpoint, Europe must refrain from identifying with any particular religious ideology (including Christian). Unfortunately he pays no attention to the recent problems with implicit Christian substrate of *laïcité*. Precisely

this issue, as well as Tibi's essentialist description of Islam and Europe (whether post-Christian or not), are the targets of M.H. Yavuz's and J. Casanova's criticism. They offer a more structured analysis of the development of Turkish Islam and the changes in its relationship with a secular/post-Christian Europe and maintain that possible Turkish accession is leading to a major debate over the meaning of European identity, while (non-integrated) immigrants only make the problem more visible. Casanova also adds that however dubious the democratic attitudes of recent Islamists may seem, those espoused by Christians' (and especially Catholics) just some decades ago were not any better (p. 73). And T.A. Byrnes remarks in his conclusion that, 'as the field of [studying] International Relations moves slowly and reluctantly to take seriously the religious entities ... it must do so with a very clear understanding of the diversity of religion itself, and with an equally clear recognition of the very diverse ways in which religion intersects with politics. It would be a real shame ... if the field's response to transnational religion and its role in world affairs simply shifted from one of disinterest to one of oversimplification' (p. 302).

He is absolutely right, but his and his colleagues' book is not free of certain shortcomings of this kind. Some are connected with the fact that the contributing scholars are primarily of an American background. On the one hand, they correctly recognise the role Islam itself (both immigrant and Turkish) has in the struggle for a common European identity, which is different from the New World where Muslim immigrants constitute just one small minority among others. On the other hand, the majority of the authors (with the exception most notably of Casanova) pay attention only to organised forms of religion, those that are most visible from the political scientist's viewpoint or from the American's experience, and leave aside T. Luckmann's 'invisible religion'. Precisely this, however, is a very significant factor in European affairs, as is the existence of

substitutive religions that may include the ideology of secularism. The 'great enlargement' of the EU in 2004 left aside (at least temporarily) Orthodox countries and thus contributed to creating an external image of the EU as a predominantly Latin Christian association. But it is misleading to judge CEE members based on the Polish prototype and its Roman Catholic image. Polish under-secularisation (among the EU-15 comparable only to the Irish) is much less common than the Czech and East German over-secularisation, and in the latter countries *laïcité* fills the role of institutional (albeit implicit) religion. Unlike France, for example, where both religion and its antipode underwent a certain 'secularisation' process in the late modern age, nowadays there is a great danger of a sharp struggle between religious organisations and their adherents on the one side and their strong opponents in Eastern European countries on the other, and not only involving (the former) Orthodox countries and their attitudes towards the EU. Similarly, it is misleading to ignore the issue of Protestantism, even though it is much less involved in European trans-national ties and less visible than the prevailingly Catholic Christian democracy and the policy of the Holy See, and there are few signals of any wider religious attendance in Protestant/Anglican countries (as the authors argue on pp. 14, 62–63). The secularism of the Protestant countries has in many ways remained superficial. It has led to 'believing without belonging' and the 'vicarious function' of (unattended) churches, as G. Davie maintains in her books. Moreover, the German 'political unification' of all Christians, or the Dutch or more recently the Scandinavian contribution to European integration process must also be taken into account.

It must also be noted that there are large discrepancies between the contributions to the volume. Some authors are re-affirmed in their position as top experts in the contemporary scientific study of religion, most notably José Casanova on both examples of 'multiple

modernities' (i.e. Poland and Turkey) and on theoretical approaches to European religious development and its comparison with other parts of the world (here mainly the United States). Others provide nothing but an exact description of their fields. This is especially true for Sabrina P. Ramet, whose papers on Polish Catholicism and Balkan and post-Soviet Orthodoxy inform the reader about (usually) unfamiliar data on religion in Eastern Europe, but they do not try to go beyond that and proceed into theoretically or historically grounded analyses; And for one of the editors, Timothy A. Byrnes, who has commendably drawn the attention of political scientists to religion (not only in this volume), but who usually fails himself to present a more complex – let's say sociological – understanding of religion. In the case of Eastern European religion it is not the editors' fault along for the significant lack of analysis, as they were simply unable to find the necessary contributors. The unwillingness or inability of Eastern European social scientists to contribute to international discussions is unfortunately quite widespread, and it is mainly they (i.e. we) who are responsible for this; thus Vjekoslav Perica (University of Utah), examining the Serbian Orthodox Church, was the only contributor to the volume with Eastern European roots.

I cannot say that the reviewed book has exhausted the topic of the return or new entry of religiosity into European politics. In many cases it poses the important questions, but gives no complete answers, and other areas of research are simply left aside. However, it is a significant (mostly factual and to a lesser degree theoretical) contribution to the fields of political science and sociology of religion, which for too long were (unconsciously) ruled by a secular liberalist self-understanding that paid little attention to the real and certainly not unreligious world. The same is true for most contemporary Europeans, real devotees of the teleological theory of religious decline as a result of modernisation, for whom the view from outside –