

Table 8. Logistic regression of voting for SPR-RSČ: models without interaction effects

	MODEL I			MODEL II			MODEL III		
	B	Wald	Exp(B)	B	Wald	Exp(B)	B	Wald	Exp(B)
SEX	0.56***	97.17	1.75	0.57***	101.59	1.77	0.57***	99.32	1.76
NONLAST	0.08	0.61	1.08	0.18*	3.09	1.20	0.23**	5.15	1.26
FIRST	0.24**	5.74	1.28	0.66***	49.87	1.93	0.53***	31.60	1.69
YOUNG	0.58***	84.03	1.78	-	-	-	-	-	-
HSCHOOL	-0.73***	143.47	0.48	-0.70***	130.51	0.50	-0.69***	129.34	0.50
WORKER	0.63***	70.62	1.87	0.66***	79.50	1.94	0.62***	69.69	1.86
EMPL	0.43***	32.55	1.53	0.45***	35.67	1.56	0.41***	30.41	1.51
UNEMPL	0.86***	26.80	2.36	0.92***	31.22	2.52	1.07***	41.21	2.91
SELF	0.63***	49.09	1.88	0.64***	51.17	1.90	0.61***	45.89	1.84
RELIG	-0.24***	17.51	0.79	-0.32***	32.83	0.72	-0.36***	40.02	0.70
YEAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.67***	141.72	0.51
Constant	-3.24***	1936.58	-	-3.11	1886.32	-	-2.80***	1393.34	-
R <sup>2</sup>		0.068			0.060			0.075	
PRED (in %)		94.24			94.24			94.24	

Note: \* statistically significant at level 0.1; \*\* statistically significant at level 0.05; \*\*\* statistically significant at level 0.01  
Presented statistic R<sup>2</sup> is shown as a suitable scale for the explained variation [SPSS... 1997].

Source: 1996, 1998 exit poll data, SC&C/IFES for the Czech television.  
For a more detailed description of variables see Appendix.